```
SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
                              LAW DIVISION, CIVIL PART
                              CAPE MAY COUNTY
                              DOCKET NO. CPM-C-55-22
                              APPELLATE DKT. NO. _____
NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF
                            )
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
                          )
                                     TRANSCRIPT
          Plaintiff,
                           )
                                         OF
          VS.
                                 CASE MANAGEMENT
CITY OF NORTH WILDWOOD,
                           )
                                    CONFERENCE
          Defendant.
                           Place: Cape May Courthouse
                                    9 North Main Street
                                    2nd Floor
                                   Cape May, NJ 08210
                           Date: March 7, 2023
     BEFORE:
       HON. MICHAEL J. BLEE, J.S.C.
     TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:
      ANTHONY S. BOCCI
       (Cullen and Dykman LLP)
     APPEARANCES:
      MS. DIANNA SHINN, ESQ.
       (New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection)
      DEBRA ALLEN, ESQ.
       (State of New Jersey, Department of Law & Public
       Safety)
       JENNIFER MORIARTY, ESQ.
      COLLEEN KELLER, ESQ.
       (NJDEP Division of Land Resource Protection)
      Attorneys for the Plaintiff
      ANTHONY BOCCI, ESQ.
      NEIL YOSKIN, ESQ.
       (Cullen and Dykman LLP)
      Attorneys for the Defendant
      ALSO PRESENT:
      MAYOR PATRICK T. ROSENELLO, Mayor of North Wildwood
      SALVATORE T. ZAMPIRRI, SR., Council President, City
      of North Wildwood
      PETER LOMAX, Consultant to the City of North Wildwood
      JIM VERNA, City Engineer, City of North Wildwood
      NICK LONG, City Administrator, City of North Wildwood
      KYLE RUTHERFORD, City of North Wildwood Resident
```

Transcriber, Dena Farbman Page eScribers, LLC 7227 North 16th Street, Ste. #207 Phoenix, AZ 85020 (602)263-0885 operations@escribers.net Audio Recorded Recording Operator, S. Washington

			3
1			
2	INDEX		
3	THE COURT City of North Wildwood's request to	13	
4	file a motion is granted	15	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



TI	IE COURT: Once again, formally, good
morning. My	name is Michael Blee. I'm the Assignment
Judge of Vic	inage 1. The date is March 7th. The time
is 10:02 a.r	. I am in Courtroom 3-A, Atlantic City,
New Jersey,	in the event that anyone needs to order a
transcript.	

We're here in the matter of the New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection v. the City of
North Wildwood under docket number CPM-C-55-22.

Counsel, please enter your appearances, starting with the plaintiff.

MS. SHINN: Dianna Shinn, Your Honor, for DEP.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. BOCCI: Good morning, Your Honor.

Anthony Bocci, law firm of Cullen and Dykman LLP, on behalf of defendant City of North Wildwood.

THE COURT: Thank you. Good morning. And would you be kind enough, Ms. Shinn, just to introduce those folks that are on the Zoom screen and their role in the case? Thank you.

MS. SHINN: Yes, Your Honor. I also have

Deputy Attorney General Debra Allen from the Division

of Law, and I have Jennifer Moriarty and Colleen Keller

from the Division of Land Resource Protection from the



Department.

THE COURT: Thank you and welcome.

North Wildwood?

MR. BOCCI: Your Honor, with us today is Neil Yoskin of Cullen and Dykman. We also have Nick Long, Kyle Rutherford, and Council President Sal Zampirri, Mayor Rosenello, Peter Lomax, consultant for the City of North Wildwood, and Jim Verna, City engineer for the City of North Wildwood.

THE COURT: Very well. Okay.

Thank you all. The purpose of today's conference was a request by Mr. Bocci to conduct this conference. Since you were before the Court I entered an order of February 1. I indicated that North Wildwood was to file an emergent application within ten days, and they completed that task.

At the time of our last conference, we were not sure of the outcome of that emergent application. We now know the outcome.

I have reviewed correspondence of February 24th from the DEP, specifically Ms. Moriarty, to Mr. Long, the City administrator. It's approximately six pages in length.

Ad it appears, Mr. Bocci, the request is whether or not this Court would retain jurisdiction



over the new application. And what I've read, there could be an additional application, or at least a supplemental application, and whether the Court would permit a motion seeking that type of relief.

The Court is well aware of the administrative -- of the Jersey Administrative Code in terms of denials. In fact, in part of my findings on the preliminary injunction on the initial application, I noted that.

And I'll hear from you, Mr. Bocci.

MR. BOCCI: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we're here today because a public safety emergency currently exists in the City of North Wildwood. And you know, we're at the point where I'm at wit's end as a lawyer in terms of what more I can do to try to protect the City of North Wildwood from a public safety emergency that exists today. And I am continually facing battles from the DEP with respect to seeking to limit the Court's jurisdiction as much as possible. And as I've indicated to the Court before, I do not believe that this matter can be adjudicated in that way, because we do not have that luxury.

As it exists today, there are ten-foot high dune scarps. I have an 11-year-old boy. Believe it or not, even though I'm in Hackensack, you know, we have a



place in Ocean City, and I'm in Ocean City throughout the year. And behind this -- in this public safety building -- beach patrol building, excuse me -- any kid can just go up onto this dune, and there is nothing there to stop that child from falling ten feet.

And you know, Your Honor, with respect to the EA denial, in addition, you know, this case is about the bulkhead, at the end of the day. We need the Court, at this point, to step in and authorize us to build this bulkhead.

And what we're ultimately asking for, Your Honor, is I'd like to be able to present to you formally in a plenary hearing. You have all the records that have been submitted to the Court. We're happy to present this to you in a plenary hearing, so that there is a proper record with respect to what exists today, what has taken place, so that the City can finally get relief. And what I mean by that is in the recent EA, it wasn't just about the bulkhead. We also sought authorization to regrade these dunes because of this ten-foot-high dune scarps that exist. And the DEP even denied that.

And why is that important? Because just recently they provided that very release to Stone Harbor. They just provided Stone Harbor with that



relief. Yet they're denying that relief here, in the most erosional site, in the State of New Jersey. It doesn't make sense. And I'm at my wit's end in terms of what more do we need to do?

Your Honor entered an order directing us to submit the EA. We complied with that order. In response to the EA, the DEP sought significant supplemental materials from the City. We provided that information as quickly as we could, and we were still denied. And not only were we denied, if you review that February 24th denial, it states, we're happy to consider anything else other than a bulkhead. They've put in writing, they refuse to provide us with the ability to build this bulkhead, other than we have this other, you know, IP (phonetic) that sits out there, and we can consider it there.

But again, we can't look at this in a vacuum because of the public safety emergency that exists. So what we are ultimately asking from the Court is, Your Honor, you invoke -- first, they invoked your jurisdiction. They filed this lawsuit. They sought Your Honor's review of this matter.

In response, we said to the Court, this is a unique case. You need to consider everything. And Your Honor granted us the authority to file the



counterclaim, which we did, and which we amended to show exactly what exists today.

On February 24th Your Honor directed DEP, said, you want to file a motion with respect to the amended counterclaim, whether or not that should be in the case, file a motion. It's now March 7th. They haven't filed a motion. I assume, by not filing the motion, they've waived their position with respect to that, but I won't speak for them.

But at the end of the day, we need the Court's help. I can't say it in any other way. We need the Court's help, because if Your Honor retain jurisdiction in directing a party to seek an EA, I would assume that it logically follows from that that the Court also has the authority to review the matter in which it directed a party to act. And that's -- and we're okay with that.

We would love for Your Honor to consider everything, and you make a determination as to DEP, in light of everything, acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner. We think we will be able to definitively show that at a plenary hearing, which all of the materials are already before, Your Honor. But we're happy to put that together. Whether Your Honor feels that it's appropriate to do it with live

appropriate for us to submit a formal written application that can be decided on the papers, we will do it however Your Honor thinks is the best course of action, because why we're here is, I'm seeking the Court's guidance, because I'm trying to do what I can ethically to help the residents of North Wildwood.

They need our help, and we're not getting it from the State of New Jersey. And they've made it abundantly clear we're not going to get this. So that's where we're coming from, Your Honor.

You know, I have a lot more to say, but you know, I respect the Court's time, and -- but at the end of the day, that's, sort of, where we're coming from.

THE COURT: Understood. Thank you, Mr. Bocci.

Ms. Shinn?

MS. SHINN: Yes, Your Honor. I just would like to first start off and say that we filed this action to seek this Court's jurisdiction to enforce a final agency action in a summary manner, and that does not allow this Court to relitigate the merits of the underlying final agency action. So as this Court has already held permit applications and authorizations, and those decisions are not appropriate to be heard,

the merits of those, in this court.

North Wildwood, as it states in its most recent letter, has the right to file an adjudicatory hearing request under the Coastal Zone Management rules with the Department, or it also could try to seek the appellate division review of that, in the interests of justice, under the Court rules. So North Wildwood has two available avenues to challenge the most recent EA denial.

We also would just like to continue to recommend to North Wildwood to reach out to the Department and to continue to discuss this matter, and if they think that the conditions have changed -- I believe that they submitted new photographs on Friday. If conditions have changed since the February 10th EA, right -- we're dealing with nature here, the conditions are constantly changing -- they have the right to submit another EA application with the Department.

The Department sought alternatives from North Wildwood, and because they didn't specifically seek that relief themselves in the EA, the Department couldn't grant that. If, you know, the — I think the best thing is for the parties to discuss here some alternatives apart from, you know, maybe if they still believe a bulkhead is necessary, they can try again, in

another EA application, based off of current conditions.

However, the Department would also recommend taking a hard look at some of the alternatives that the Department has represented to North Wildwood as potentially being a good alternative, while their CAFRA permit for a bulkhead in the same exact location is currently under technical review.

So we kind of have two parallel permitting decisions ongoing right now. We have these EAs that are being filed with the Department, but the Department is also spending resources and time, and has continued to represent to North Wildwood that it's going to expedite its review of that CAFRA permit for, again, a bulkhead in the same exact location.

So again, if the conditions have changed, and if North Wildwood continues to believe that they should seek a bulkhead or also, you know, look at some of the alternatives the Department has represented to them, they can submit another EA, if they find that to be appropriate. But again, the Department is committed to expediting review of that underlying CAFRA permit for a bulkhead in the same exact location.

THE COURT: So thank you, Ms. Shinn.

All right. I'm going to address, really, at



this point -- I'm not going to get into the merits, but discuss the procedural aspect.

Mr. Bocci, I'm going to grant your request to file a motion, but the motion really needs to be whether this Court has jurisdiction over the most recent emergency application and anything that may occur subsequently. And obviously the State has a right to oppose that, and I'll make that decision. But I also know that the clock is ticking with respect to exhausting your administrative remedies, so I'm going to ask that that motion practice be done on an expedited basis, because in the event, Mr. Bocci, the Court determines that it does not have jurisdiction over the most recent emergency authorization or any subsequent application, you're going to have to avail yourself of the administrative remedies.

I did make a determination that, at the time that the case got to me, with respect to the October EA, that the time period had expired, and I do not believe that this Court has jurisdiction over that.

This one is an interesting issue, and I can't make that determination without having briefs in front of me and having oral argument.

Mr. Bocci, I'm going to ask you, you know, how quickly you can get that done. If you want to take



a look at the calendar with respect to, you know, we want to get this done before you would possibly lose your opportunity under the Administrative Code for, you know, going -- going through the administrative process.

MR. BOCCI: Your Honor, I'm -- I -- thank you for granting our request. I -- we will file this as quickly as possible, believe me. What I would respectfully suggest is, if we're going to follow this motion on an expedited basis, I would put in our notice a motion that we would request that the motion be heard on short notice.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BOCCI: That it not be in a regular --

THE COURT: No. Let's talk. I'd like to just discuss time frames now, Mr. Bocci, because --

MR. BOCCI: Okay.

THE COURT: I want to give you --

MR. BOCCI: Right.

THE COURT: -- a reasonable time to get something submitted. We'll do a briefing schedule. I'm going to ask you to prepare an order. And you know, again, if you want to take time to look at -- in the event I rule against North Wildwood, I don't want you to lose your opportunity to pursue it through the



MR. BOCCI: Could we file the -- can we file

25

1	this by Monday the 13th?
2	THE COURT: The 13th?
3	MR. BOCCI: Yeah. I technically have a trial
4	starting tomorrow. God willing, it's not going to go
5	out, but I will make it happen for the 13th.
6	THE COURT: All right. And State, could you
7	have a response within seven days, the 20th?
8	MS. SHINN: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Reply, Mr. Bocci?
10	MR. BOCCI: We can have a reply by the we
11	can do a reply by that the Thursday or Friday. If I
12	have a few days
13	THE COURT: Would that be the 23rd or 24th,
14	Mr. Bocci?
15	MR. BOCCI: Yeah. I would say the 24th.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And then my law clerk is
17	listening.
18	And while we're doing that, Mr. Yoskin or Mr.
19	Bocci, just check your deadlines for the Administrative
20	Code. I hope, you know, I hope it's something I can
21	call from the bench.
22	Mr. Tuohy, could you give me a date for oral
23	argument?
24	MR. BOCCI: If I may, Your Honor?
25	THE COURT: Yes.



Т	MR. BOCCI: I m Solly. Belove Mr. Idony Says
2	that, I'm going to be away in at a conference in
3	Tampa Bay starting I don't know when it starts
4	March 29th. I may be leaving the 28th.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. BOCCI: So it's not leaving you a lot of
7	time. So the 29th, I will be in Tampa Bay for sure.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. BOCCI: And I cannot cancel that. I'm
10	sorry. So I can do it I'm happy I prefer to do
11	it in person.
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. BOCCI: But you know
14	THE COURT: Well, we can, you know, we'll
15	work let's just
16	Mr. Tuohy, a date, please?
17	THE CLERK: Friday the 31st you're free, but
18	Mr. Bocci is in a conference that day. So I can I
19	can look, or
20	THE COURT: So we can this is going to be
21	a priority, Dennis. We'll move anything.
22	THE CLERK: Got it.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So
24	Mr. Bocci, the 31st? You're in your
25	conference. Do you know when you're returning?



Τ	MR. BOCCI: I'M actually, Ifom Tampa Bay I'm
2	flying to Houston from Tampa Bay. It's a little bit of
3	a hectic
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. BOCCI: time for me. But if you want
6	to truncate my briefing schedule, I'm happy to truncate
7	my response time, if we if I can try to get it in,
8	you know, either the 27th, 28th. I don't know if that
9	works. That probably doesn't work for the Court.
10	THE COURT: 27 or 28th would work for you,
11	Counsel?
12	THE CLERK: Judge, the afternoon of the 27th
13	we have the 28th, you have a Judicial Council labor
14	relations meeting. I don't know if you can move that.
15	THE COURT: I'm going to get off the bench
16	and look at my calendar. I had trouble there, so just
17	bear with me, guys, okay?
18	MR. BOCCI: Thank you.
19	(Recess)
20	THE COURT: Counsel, it looks the best
21	date for the Court to try to accommodate Mr. Bocci
22	would be March 27th at 1:30.
23	MR. BOCCI: That works for us, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And that would be
25	MR. BOCCI: Thank you so much.



1	THE COURT: Cape May Courthouse.
2	Ms. Shinn, does that work for you?
3	MS. SHINN: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate, and
5	thank you for accommodating.
6	And that will work out, Mr. Yoskin, in
7	terms in the event the Court determines it should go
8	administratively, you're not going to lose that time.
9	MR. YOSKIN: Yes. Sure.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. YOSKIN: Yes, Your Honor. While you were
12	away from the bench, we asked the State to check the
13	date on which the denial will be published, and the DEP
14	people are looking, because that triggers the appeals
15	period.
16	THE COURT: Very well. So Mr. Bocci, the
17	order is going to read, your request for leave to ask
18	the Court to exercise jurisdiction will be filed by
19	March 13th. The State will respond by March 20th and
20	reapply on March 24th. Oral argument, March 27th at
21	1:30 in person in Cape May Courthouse.
22	Does that work for all? Okay.
23	MR. YOSKIN: Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Just it'll we're
25	MR. YOSKIN: Thank you so much.



THE COURT: Yes. You're welcome.

MR. YOSKIN: I appreciate it.

THE COURT: And I just want to address the parties again. I appreciate Ms. Shinn encouraging continued discussion. And you know, we have excellent counsel on both sides, but sometimes when you hear it from the judge, you know, it might make a difference.

This is a very critical situation in terms of my decision on jurisdiction. So if I decide that the Court has jurisdiction, what is being created is what we call an issue for appeal. The State may say we disagree with you, Judge, respectfully, and take what's called an emergent interlocutory appeal. Appellate division may take it, may not. They may choose not to do that.

I may say I want to have a plenary hearing and make a ruling, and then someone from above, three judges and above, the appellate division may say you did it wrong.

So this -- these are critical issues.

There's risks on both sides. I understand the issue,
but it's not -- it's -- it's complicated in terms of
whether this Court does have jurisdiction or not.

So I just want both sides to know the risks involved. And generally, when there's a risk, that's a

good time to try to work out an amicable resolution. Okay?

All right. Thank you all very much. And I'll wait for the order. Have a good afternoon. Any questions by anyone?

MR. BOCCI: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. YOSKIN: Oh. Yes, Your Honor.

MR. BOCCI: Thank you.

MR. YOSKIN: One more thing.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.0

MR. YOSKIN: One more thing, Your Honor, if I may? And I know it may be a bit inappropriate, but I'm going to ask. Can -- Your Honor, we'd like the authority to at least regrade the dunes so that this ten-foot cliff doesn't exist. Is that, I mean, is that something that the Court would be --

THE COURT: Not at this point. I think only with the consent of Ms. Shinn and her folks.

Ms. Shinn?

MS. SHINN: Your Honor, I think, again, I would recommend that Lomax or the Town reach out to Colleen or Jennifer and -- and discuss that, if they think that that's an emergency that needs to be dealt with at this time. I can't speak on behalf of the Department at this time, because that involves the

	Coastal Zone Management lutes and permitteing
2	procedures, so if that's something that you think needs
3	to be done, I would, again, encourage you to reach out
4	to the Department to discuss that.
5	THE COURT: And would that be taking the fill
6	from offshore and bringing it on? I'm just reading a
7	report. No. Okay. Something different. Okay.
8	All right. Any other questions, Counsel?
9	All right.
10	MR. BOCCI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you all very much for your
12	time.
13	MR. YOSKIN: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Have a good afternoon. That
15	concludes the proceedings.
16	(Proceedings concluded at 10:27 a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



## CERTIFICATION

I, Dena Farbman Page, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Cape May Superior Court, on March 7, 2023, from 10:02 a.m. to 10:27 a.m., is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate noncompressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded.

DENA FARBMAN PAGE

AAERT CET-629

ESCRIBERS, LLC

Date: March 8, 2023

